

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/662,871	SAGE ET AL.
	Examiner Theodore J. Stigell	Art Unit 3763

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTO-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

- This communication is responsive to 10/29/2007.
- The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,2,4-13,31-39,43 and 60-71.
- Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - All
 - Some*
 - None
 of the:
 - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * Certified copies not received: _____.
- A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
- CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of
 Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

- Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
- Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material
- Notice of Informal Patent Application
- Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
- Examiner's Amendment/Comment
- Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
- Other _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The best prior art made of record, namely Connelly et al. (6,589,229), does not disclose or render obvious a device for delivering liquid via a flow channel comprising the limitations recited in claims 1 and 31. These claims recite two important limitations that are not disclosed or rendered obvious by Connelly. The first limitation is that the disposable component contains a memory device while the reusable component contains a controller adapted to read data stored on the memory. In Connelly, the memory device is actually part of the controller (132, see column 14, lines 4-10) and both devices are located on the reusable portion (34) of the device. There is no disclosure by Connelly to include the memory on the disposable portion and furthermore, it would not be obvious because Connelly specifically teaches against this limitation by teaching to include the expensive electronic components on the reusable portion rather than the disposable portion as a cost-effective and sterility measure (see column 3, lines 15-22). The second limitation is that the memory on the disposable component has data that directly corresponds to the *exact same* flow channel that is included in the disposable component. The data stored in the memory of the device of Connelly is based on measurements from a large quantity of flow channels that are used to create look-up tables. Therefore, the data corresponds to an average of empirical values of a large quantity of flow channels rather than the *exact same* flow channel that is in the device.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theodore J. Stigell whose telephone number is 571-272-8759. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number:

10/662,871

Art Unit: 3763

Theodore J. Stigell
Theodore J. Stigell

Page 4

Nicholas D. Lachman
NICHOLAS D. LACHMAN
SUPERVISORY ATTORNEY
TECHNOLOGY UNIT (2071)